

1. In terms of annual income per person, there is a significant difference between Japan and Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Japan ranks first with 15,760 US

dollars, while Canada is significantly smaller ~~by a margin of 4,660 dollars at \$11,100, ranking second~~. ~~Also,~~ Peru is slightly larger than Angola which ranks last.

Commented [ET1]: This difference is not easy to calculate during the exam, and your examiner will also face difficulty checking it. In such cases you should use adverbs/adjectives to report or simply report the rank here.

Commented [ET2]: Adding this prevents the sentence from becoming a short and simple one.

2. In terms of annual income per person, there was a significant wide disparity between Japan and Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Japan ranked first at \$15,760,

Commented [ET3]: This collocates with *difference*.

which was ~~slightly~~ significantly higher than Canada (\$11,100). There was little difference between Peru and Angola (\$160 and \$130, respectively), with the latter ranking last.

Commented [ET4]: The difference is actually significant when compared to the other figures in this category.

3. In terms of life expectancy at birth, there was a significant wide disparity between Canada

~~and Japan~~ Japan and Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Canada

Commented [ET5]: Japan has the higher figure and must come first.

Japan ranked first at 78 years, while ~~Japan~~ Canada was smaller by a narrow margin (76 ~~years~~),

Commented [ET6]: Wrong: Japan ranked first at 78.

ranking second. Peru was 51 ~~years~~, which was slightly higher than Angola at 47 years, with the letter ranking last.

Commented [ET7]: Redundant

4. In terms of annual income per person, there was a significant difference between the figures ~~of for~~ Japan and Canada on the one hand, and those ~~of for~~ Peru and Angola on the other. Japan stood first at \$15760, while Canada was in second place at \$11100. Peru ~~accounted for was~~ \$160, which was larger than Angola by a narrow margin of \$30, with the latter ranking last.

Commented [ET8]: The figures are not shares.

5. As shown, there ~~is was~~ a noticeable disparity with regard to life expectancy at birth between Canada and Japan on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other, ~~with Japan ranking first at 78. Though Canada ranks second to Japan, the difference is a slight margin of two years, Canada was smaller by a narrow margin of 2, standing second Peru follows with an average of 51 years,~~ while Angola ~~has had~~ the lowest figure at 47 ~~years~~.

Commented [ET9]: Redundant

Commented [ET10]: The date is 1994.

Commented [ET11]: 1.Reporting this is necessary.
2.Without this, the next sentence makes little sense.

Commented [ET12]: When Japan's 1st rank is highlighted, this becomes redundant.

Commented [ET13]: This is not English. Trust me, I even checked [COCA](#). Why aren't you using the language for which you have attended this class?

Commented [ET14]: The third rank is not an important feature.

6. In terms of daily calorie supply per person, there was a wide disparity between Canada and Japan on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Canada ranked first at 3326 calories, followed by Japan ~~in second place~~ at 2846 ~~calories, which ranked second~~. The figure for Peru ~~accounted for was~~ 1927 ~~calories~~, which was marginally larger than Angola at 1749 calories, with the latter ranking last.

Commented [ET15]: This refers to the last word of the previous clause: *calories*

Commented [ET16]: 1.This is used with the name of the item, not with THE FIGURE FOR...
2.See comment 8

7. In terms of life expectancy at birth, there was a significant difference between Japan and ~~canada~~Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Japan stood first with 78 years, while Canada was in second place at 76 years. Peru was 51 years, which was slightly higher than Angola at 47 years.

Commented [ET17]: You should have pointed out the small difference between them.

8. In terms of annual income per person (in \$US), there ~~is was~~ a significant difference between ~~Canada and~~ Japan and Canada in the one hand, and Peru, and Angola on the other. Japan stood first (\$15760), followed by Canada in second place with \$11100. Angola ~~is was~~ \$130, which ~~is was~~ marginally lower than Peru at \$160, as the latter ~~ranks ranked~~ last.

Commented [ET18]: See comment 10

Commented [ET19]: See comment 5

9. Regarding adult literacy rate, there was no difference between Japan and Canada, as they ranked first together at 99% each. The ~~rate of adult literacy in figure for~~ Peru was 68%, while that ~~of for~~ Angola was significantly lower ~~by a margin of 34%~~, ranking last.

Commented [ET20]: Use referencing and substitution to avoid repetition.

Commented [ET21]: See comment 2

10. In terms of life expectancy at birth, there ~~is was~~ a wide disparity between Japan and Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. The figure for Japan ~~stands~~stood first at 78 years, while Canada ~~is was~~ lower by a narrow margin of two ~~years, at 78 and 76~~ years respectively. Peru ~~is was~~ 51, whereas Angola ~~is was~~ slightly lower at 47, ranking last.

Commented [ET22]: See comment 10

Commented [ET23]: Redundant

Commented [ET24]: This must be reported in the previous clause which was about Japan. Note that this one was about Canada.

Commented [ET25]: Redundant: anyone can calculate 78-2.