

1. In terms of annual income per person, there was a significant difference between Japan and

Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Japan ranked first at \$15760,

while Canada was marginally lower at \$11100, ranking second. Peru was \$160, which was

greater than Angola by a negligible \$30, as the latter ranked last.

Commented [ET1]: The difference is actually significant when compared to the other figures in this category.

2. In terms of daily calorie supply per person, there was a wide disparity between Canada and

Japan on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Canada ranked first at 3326

calories, while Japan is was smaller by a narrow margin (2846). Peru was marginally larger

than Angola (1927 and 1749, respectively), which ranked last.

Commented [ET2]: You must report the unit for the first figure.

3. In terms of daily calorie supply per person, there was a wide disparity between Canada and

Japan on the one hand and peruPeru and Angola on the other, as the former ranked first at

3326 calories. Japan ranks-ranked second with 2846-calories, which is was significantly more

than peruPeru (1927). There was a little disparitydisparity between peruPeru and Angola at

1927 and 1749, respectivelyrespectively.

Commented [ET3]: You must report the first rank. ALWAYS!

Commented [ET4]: Edit your writing before submitting it. There are too many errors and most of them show you did not read the paragraph a second time.

4. In terms of annual income per person, there was a significant difference between Japan and

Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Japan ranked first at \$15760,

which ~~is~~ was significantly larger than Canada in second place at \$11100. Peru was \$160, while

Angola was marginally smaller at \$130, ranking last.

Commented [ET5]: If you omit SIGNIFICANTLY, the sentence becomes redundant: SECOND PLACE automatically means Canada was smaller than Japan.