

1. The table provides data about the underground railway systems in six cities. Overall, the route in London, which launched its system well before all the others, is the longest. A considerably higher quantity of passengers commute yearly in the cities that established their systems in the 19th and the first half of the 19th-20th century. Kyoto and Los Angeles, which opened their systems later than the others have substantially shorter railways and less number of fewer passengers. The length of route in the cities that launched their systems in the 19th century is nearly the same except Kyoto, ranking last.

Commented [ET1]: The last sentence is basically wrong. Only London and possibly Paris opened their systems in the 19th century, and their route lengths are not even close. If you meant to say the 20th century, then again, we analyzed the figures and they were not nearly the same. Instead, you can report that the newer systems generally have shorter routes and fewer passengers.

2. The table compares information about the underground railway systems in six countries cities.

Commented [ET2]: The names given in the table are cities, not countries.

Overall, London has the oldest underground railway system, whereas Los Angeles has the newest system one among other countries. The length of London's system is significantly higher than other countries the others, while the annual number of passengers in Tokyo is the highest.

Commented [ET3]: You must use referencing or substitution to avoid repetition.

Commented [ET4]: 1.See comment 2
2.Correct: *among these cities*
3.Redundant

Commented [ET5]: 1.End each sentence with a period.
2.You have reported the first and last ranks for the first column, but only the first ranks for the other columns. This is incoherent. You can also report that the newer systems have shorter routes and fewer passengers.

3. The table provides data about the underground railway systems in six cities in three

categories, namely the year their **metro** systems opened, the total route length and the

number of passengers per year.

Commented [ET6]: See comment 3

Commented [ET7]: I wouldn't name the categories here. The list is too long, and you will eventually name them in the overview anyway.

Overall, London has the oldest railway **system** and the longest route, whereas Tokyo stands

first in terms of the number of passengers carried annually. **Los Angeles and Kyoto have has**

Commented [ET8]: See comment 3

Commented [ET9]: It makes little sense to report the last two ranks for the first column.

the most recently opened systems, with **the latter Kyoto** ranking last in **terms of route length**

and number of passengers the other two categories.

Commented [ET10]: See comment 3

Commented [ET11]: you can also report that the newer systems generally have shorter routes and fewer passengers.

4. The table **below** provides data about the underground railway systems in six cities.

Overall, in terms of **the date of opening** and length of route there is a significant difference

between London on the one hand, and the other 5 cities on the other. Tokyo has the most

passengers per year. **There is little disparity between LA and Kyoto, as Kyoto the latter**

standing stands last in **each of all** categories except **the** date of establishing.

Commented [ET12]: The biggest difference between the opening dates is between 1927 and 1976. This sentence is wrong. You should instead simply report that *London has the oldest and longest system, while Tokyo has the most passengers per year.*

Commented [ET13]: In which category?

Commented [ET14]: As I explained in the class, it makes no sense to say something ranks first/last in dates. You can simply say that *LA has the newest railway, whereas Kyoto ranks last in the other two categories.* Also see comment 11.

5. The table provides data about the underground railway systems in six cities. ✓

Overall, London is the first city with a railway system. In terms of route length, there is a significant difference between London on the one hand and 5 others cities the other five

systemson the other. Tokyo has the most passenger per year, while the kyoto and Los Angeles
Kyoto stands last.

Commented [ET15]: 1. The second sentence does not clearly mention the first rank in route length. You can merge the first two sentences to correct this issues, avoid repetition, and create a more sophisticated sentence:
London is the first city with a railway and has a significantly longer route than the other five.
Or even use a participle clause:
London is the first city with a railway, having a significantly longer route than the other five.

Commented [ET16]: 1.The order is wrong because Kyoto is smaller than LA.
2.Why are you reporting the last two ranks here?

Commented [ET17]: You have reported the last rank only for the last column. You either don't report it, or do so for all the categories.