

1. In terms of the number of passengers per year, there is a significant wide disparity between

Tokyo, Paris, and London on the one hand, and the others on the other. While Tokyo has by

far the highest number at 1927 million (1927m), Paris stands in second place (1927 and 1192

million (m), respectively)(1192m). LA and Kyoto have approximately the same numbers at 50m

m and 45m-m, respectively, as the latter stands last.

Commented [ET1]: This figure must come here because this is the clause that is about London. The next clause is about Paris.

Commented [ET2]: You could also report that DC is approximately 3 times as high as LA and Kyoto.

2. In terms of the number of passengers that transport are transported, there is a significant

difference between Tokyo and Paris on the one hand, and the other countries systems on the

other, as the former ranks first at 1927 m-m million (1927m). The figures for Los Angeles and

Kyoto are similar at 50m-m and 45m-m, respectively, with the latter ranking last.

Commented [ET3]: 1. These are cities.
2. Here, these names mean the system in those cities, not the cities themselves.

Commented [ET4]: You must first use the full form and then abbreviate this to be able to use the abbreviate form in future cases.

Commented [ET5]: See comment 2

3. In terms of the number of passengers per year, tThe underground in Tokyo carries by far the

Commented [ET6]: Repetitive: you have this in “carries by far the most passengers”.

most number of passengers at 1927 million, ranking first, while that of in Paris has significantly

Commented [ET7]: Or: *the highest number of passengers*

Commented [ET8]: Without this, your figures are totally wrong.

Commented [ET9]: 1. Correct: first
2. This is the same as MOST.

less fewer passengers as high (1191 million), ranking second. However, the number of people

Commented [ET10]: The underground in Tokyo → that in Paris

Commented [ET11]: 1. “Less...as high” makes no sense. Follow the structures I taught you to avoid errors like this.
2. Without this, this comparison has no significance.

who travel by. The figure for Los Angeles ones are is 50 million, which is larger than Kyoto's

Commented [ET12]: Unnecessary linker

Commented [ET13]: Use referencing when possible.

system by a narrow margine of 5 million, as the latter ranks last.

Commented [ET14]: See comment 2

4. In terms of the number of passengers, there is significant difference between Tokyo on the

one hand and the other countries systems on the other, as the former it ranks first at 1927

Commented [ET15]: See comment 3

millions (1927m). Los Angeles and Kyoto are similar at 50m and 45m, respectively, as the

latter ranks last.

Commented [ET16]: Repetitive structure. Better: *with the latter ranking last*

Commented [ET17]: See comment 2

5. In terms of passengers, there is a wide disparity between Tokyo and the others, as it ranks first with 1927 million (1927m), followed by Paris in second place at 1191m. Los Angeles'

network carries 50m commuters, which is slightly larger than Kyoto by a narrow margin of 5m, as the latter ranks last.

- Commented [ET18]: Not everyone who uses the underground is commuting.
- Commented [ET19]: You have mixed B1 and B2.
- Commented [ET20]: See comment 2

6. In terms of annual passenger numbers, there is a wide disparity between Tokyo and Paris on the one hand, and the remaining systems on the other. Tokyo stands first, at 1927 million (1927m), while Paris is considerably lower, at 1191 million (1191m), ranking second. Los Angeles carries slightly more passengers than Kyoto, at 50m and 45m respectively, with the latter ranking last.

- Commented [ET21]: No comma
- Commented [ET22]: No comma
- Commented [ET23]: See comment 2

7. In terms of passengers, Tokyo has the most number of passengers, which rank first with 1927 million (1927 m-m), following followed by Paris in second place with 1191 m-m. Los Angeles and Kyoto have similar numbers in terms of passengers figures (50 m and 45 m, respectively), as the latter rank last.

- Commented [ET24]: See comment 6
- Commented [ET25]: This is the same as MOST.
- Commented [ET26]: See comment 13
- Commented [ET27]: See comment 8
- Commented [ET28]: See comment 2