

1. Water and rail started ~~the period~~ at 40m, maintaining the same level until 1978. The former grew significantly to just under 60m in 1993, although this was followed by a slight decline to

50m in 1998, ~~rising before it rose~~ to reach over 60m in 2002. ~~After fluctuating throughout the~~ period, the figure for rail ~~back returned~~ to its initial figure at the end.

Commented [ET1]: Dangling

Commented [ET2]: This is ultra summarized. If you want to cover the trends more completely, you should say that it fell to 30m in 1985 before fluctuating until 1995.

2. Water and rail started at 40m in 1974 and maintained the same level until 1978, following

which water rose markedly to 68m in 1981. It remained almost stable until 1989, before

Commented [ET3]: There is a visible drop between these two trends.

increasing to 62m in 2002. ~~However, having a fluctuation, rail stood just over the 1974 figure~~

~~at the end.~~ ~~After fluctuating, rail reached just over its 1974 figure at the end.~~

Commented [ET4]: Redundant linker

Commented [ET5]: 1.Fluctuation is treated as uncountable and is used in singular.
2.HAVE is not used in trends. We use SHOW, SEE, EXPERIENCE, ... See the last sentence in number three for an example.

Commented [ET6]: 1.Correct: stood at
2.You need to report the trend. STOOD is not used when reporting changes.

Commented [ET7]: See comment 2

3. Water and rail stood at 40m in 1974, maintaining the same level until 1978. The former rose

significantly to 60m in 1986 and remained unchanged until 1993. After a minimal decline,

~~peaking it peaked~~ at 63m in 2002. ~~However, rail way~~ Railway fell to 30m in 1985 and showed

fluctuation until 1994, followed by a remarkable ~~rise back~~ to its starting point (40m) in 2002.

Commented [ET8]: This is the main clause for the adverb clause before it. You cannot use a participle clause instead.

Commented [ET9]: See comment 4

Commented [ET10]: For this, you need to have reported the fall before the fluctuation. That is why I added the at part at the beginning of this sentence.

4. Although the figures for water and rail initially started approximately at approximately 40m

Commented [ET11]: This is a part of the description of the figure and must come as its adjective, not here.

and remained stable from 1974 to until 1978, infact they showed difference followed different

Commented [ET12]: 1. Correct: in fact
2. Totally redundant

trends in the rest of the period. After water rose to 60m in 1986 and experienced stability

until 1990, it decreased slightly before rising markedly to just over 60m in 2002. However, rail

Commented [ET13]: See comment 4

Rail experienced fluctuation during the rest of the period and back returned to the initial point

Commented [ET14]: See comment 2

at the end.

5. In 1974, rail and water stood at around 40m, maintaining this level until 1978. The former

fluctuated for the rest of the period, after which it returned to its initial level in 2002. The

Commented [ET15]: See comment 2

latter saw a substantial rise from 1978 to 2002, reaching slightly more than 60m.

Commented [ET16]: Unacceptable summarization: you have not reported any of the significant trends visible during this period. There is a rise, a fall and another rise.

6. Both water and rail registered 40m in 1974 and maintained this level until 1978. The former

Commented [ET17]: More common: *recorded*

experienced a relatively significant rise, reaching close to 60m in 1986. It remained stable for

three years before gradually declining to approximately 50m, and leveled off at rose to just

Commented [ET18]: There is no levelling off here in the diagram.

over 60m in 2002. The latter fluctuated during the rest of the period and ended at the same

Commented [ET19]: See comment 2

figure of 40m it started at in 2002.

Commented [ET20]: SAME requires a reference.

7. In 1974, Both water and rail start at around 40m and remained stable until 1987. Water

Commented [ET21]: Repetitive and redundant: you have used STARTED AT, which shows us that you are reporting the beginning.

considerably rose to almost 60m in 1982, after which it stabled levelled off until 1994, and it

Commented [ET22]: Use a subordinate linker instead to create a complex structure.

decreased minimally then started to before it rose and hit just over 60m in 2002.

Commented [ET23]: 1. Correct: STARTED TO + INFINITIVE: *started to rise*
2. This is unnecessary. You simply say *it rose*.

However, rail had showed a decline until 1986 at when it reached 30m, after which it

Commented [ET24]: The past tense of HIT is HIT.

fluctuated until 1994 and reached the same number in 1986. It then increased significantly

Commented [ET25]: See comment 4

until 2002 and it reached approximately the same initial amount figure/level in 1974.

Commented [ET26]: HAVE is not used in trends. We use SHOW, SEE, EXPERIENCE, ...

Commented [ET27]: UNTIL has two structures and I have explained both. Here, you are saying it declined UNTIL 1986 and need to explain what happened in that year, so you use WHEN.

Commented [ET28]: 1. We use FIGURE, not NUMBER.
2. Redundant: it fluctuated, so we know there was no change.

Commented [ET29]: You have already used INITIAL, which is the same.