

Scientific research should be carried out and controlled by the governments rather than private companies.

To what extent do you agree or disagree?

It is argued that governments should be responsible for carrying out and controlling scientific research rather than private businesses. I firmly disagree with this idea, due to [the effect on](#) efficiency and productivity.

From my perspective, private companies are able to spend more money on scientific research.

[Governments have many duties and have to spend their money on a variety of things,](#)

[including developing public transport, constructing hospitals, and improving](#)

[telecommunication. However, private businesses can allocate all their resources to the](#)

[projects they are working on.](#) This helps them to buy better machines and equipment, so

scientists can work faster, and as a result new medicines and technologies can be developed

more quickly, which leads to a greater efficiency. [For instance, a large company can allocate a](#)

large budget to medical research, so they may do more research and produce better

medicines, [as a result of which it](#) [This](#) has a significant impact on people's quality of life

because [of](#) patients can have access to more effective treatments.

In addition, private companies can become more productive. Since they want more

customers, they compete with each other to make a better product, and scientists [make](#)

[develop](#) new ideas to lead to scientific and technological improvement. [For example, phone](#)

Commented [ET1]: 4 clauses in one sentence

Commented [ET2]: You have switched the location of reason and result. Correct:
This means patients can have access to more effective treatments, which has a significant impact on their quality of life.

Commented [ET3]: The original sentence has 5 clauses!

companies compete with each other, so they try to make better phones every year. Hence,

new technologies are able to introduce quicker, and people can benefit from better products.

Although some individuals think that scientific research should be carried out by governments,

In conclusion, I believe that, since private companies are able to spend more money on scientific research and want to make better products in competitive markets, research should

be controlled by them because of their better efficiency and productivity.

Commented [ET4]: Governments do not have to compete with anyone, so not having productive research projects does not harm them. In contrast, private companies compete with each other to do research that helps produce new or better products. For example, phone companies like Apple and Samsung work on AI research to make their phones find information more quickly and present it to their users in more user-friendly ways.

Commented [ET5]: The original sentence has 6 clauses!

TR 6	- The first reason does not explain why private companies can spend more. - The second reason ignores governments and does not compare companies to them. - The example in paragraph 3 does not help progress the idea and does not add anything new. - No counterargument
CC 6	- There are two occasions where you place the result before the reason. - There are several long and overloaded sentences with more than 3 clauses