

1. Japan ranked first in terms of life expectancy at 78 years, which was slightly higher than

Canada at 76 ~~years~~. There was no significant difference between Peru and Angola at 51 and 47 ~~years~~ respectively, with the latter ranking last.

Commented [ET1]: Except for percentages and currency symbols, you do not usually repeat the unit after reporting the first figure.

2. In terms of annual income per person, there was a significant difference between Japan and

Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Japan ranked first at \$15,760,

followed by Canada at \$11,100. ~~The figures for Peru and Angola were far lower, at \$160 and~~

~~\$130 respectively, with Peru ranking third and Angola last. The figure for Peru was slightly~~

~~higher than Angola at \$160 and \$130 respectively, with the latter ranking last.~~

Commented [ET2]: You already reported this huge difference in the first sentence.

Commented [ET3]: The third rank is never important.

3. In life expectancy at birth ~~(years)~~, there was a wide disparity between Japan and Canada on

the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other, as the former ranked first at 78 ~~years~~. Peru

was marginally larger than Angola at 51 and 47 respectively, ~~which~~ as the latter ranked last.

Commented [ET4]: Report the unit when reporting the figures.

Commented [ET5]: You must also report that Canada was smaller by a narrow margin of 2.

Commented [ET6]: This refers to 47, not Angola.

4. In terms of ~~A~~annual income per person, there was a significant difference between Japan and Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other. Japan stood first with \$15760, which was larger than Canada by a wide margin (~~\$~~11100). Peru was \$160, while Angola was slightly smaller with \$130, ranking last.

Commented [ET7]: AT would be more natural here.

5. In terms of annual income per person, there ~~is~~ was a ~~significant~~ significant difference between ~~Canada and~~ Japan and Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other, ~~as the former contributions are 11100 and 15760 respectively, ranking first and second. The~~ former ranked first at \$15760, followed by Canada at \$11100. The ~~share of figure for~~ Peru ~~is~~ was \$160, which ~~is~~ was larger than Angola by negligible \$30, with the latter ~~ranks ranking~~ last.

Commented [ET8]: The date in the task is 1994.

Commented [ET9]: Canada is smaller than Japan and must come after it.

Commented [ET10]: This only refers to Canada.

Commented [ET11]: These are not percentages and shares, or money contributed to a project.

Commented [ET12]: See how orders matter? You have attributed the first rank to the smaller figure.

Commented [ET13]: You need to pay a lot more attention to punctuation:
1. There is not space before a comma or period.
2. There is one space after each comma or period.
3. Avoid using two spaces instead of one.

6. There was a significant difference in annual income between ~~Japan and Peru~~ Japan and Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other, with Japan's figure being

~~considerably higher at \$15,760 compared with just \$160 for Peru, ranking first and third~~ respectively.

Commented [ET14]: As I explained in the class, when using Structure A to report a huge difference (گھل), the difference must include all of the figures in the category, not just two of them.

Commented [ET15]: You already reported this huge difference with SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE.

Commented [ET16]: See comment 3

Commented [ET17]: Thing you can report after this:
-first rank
-[second rank]
-the small difference between 160 & 130
-[last rank]

7. In terms of life expectancy at birth, there was a wide disparity between Japan and Canada on the one hand, and Peru and Angola on the other, as the former ranked first at 78. In Peru

this indicator was 51 ~~years~~, which was slightly higher than Angola at 47, with the latter ranking last.

Commented [ET18]: See comment 5

Commented [ET19]: See comment 1