

In the future, nobody will buy printed newspapers or books because they will be able to read everything they want online without paying.

To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Disagree:

less screen time:

Scientists more warning about screen time detrimental to brain/ stress > more people are worry > less use screens & more use printed books and newspaper.

Example: **more bloggers** are doing now and encourage to..., predict more in future.

- *The example is about bloggers not scientists.*
- *The example must come after the part it is about.*
- *At some point you need to link this to conclusions about future events. Make sure you note where this will happen.*
- **People want to have less screen time:** Many esp. scientists, bloggers more warning about screen time detrimental to brain/ stress > more people are worry > future: many use screens less & use printed books and newspaper more

individual desires

1) **bias in the news > the sponsorship affects the news > they control the news**, 2) **the price decreases and affordable > due to online news instead of papers** => people prefer to buy. 3) people desire > used to see books and newspapers in the shops > older generation prefer to buy the paper based > some people like to collect books

access to reliable news, based on their habits, easy to catch in public places, desire to collect.

- *You have three different ideas here. they cannot come as one and need to be presented and developed separately.*
- *The main idea needs to be clearer.*
- *The cause comes after the reason here. Bias is the RESULT of control.*
- *Again, cause comes after the result.*
- *We need to talk about number three!*
- *What is this part?*

Censorship

If everything becomes online and free > predominant income source becomes online ads > **sponsorship only for famous publishers** > the **sponsor makes publishers biased** > every book/newspaper needs to pass the filter of biased publishers > original ideas are manipulated and censored + independent writers/journalists are discouraged

- *This part does not contribute to the causal chain. It is redundant and confusing.*
- *Why?*
- *You have not linked the causal chain to the topic and drawn any relevant conclusions.*
- **Preventing censorship:** If everything becomes online and free > predominant income source becomes online ads > the sponsor can threaten to leave if undesirable content published > makes publishers biased > every book/newspaper needs to pass the filter of biased publishers > original ideas are manipulated and censored + independent writers/journalists are discouraged => publishers, writers won't allow free online

book lovers always read book and want own books in our library : nowadays people use library for borrow books despite of the price of the book/ books more and more publish in print editions for example more bookstores establish > future:book lovers buy favorite book> publisher and bookstores always have income

- *You have explained the conditions today but have not explained why the future will remain the same.*
- **book lovers always read book and want own books in our library**: now: more and more people use library for borrow books despite of the price of books + books more and more publish in print editions + more bookstores established every year > future: more book lovers buy favorite book

Reliability and editorial credibility: now: massive amounts of free content online >often written for clicks, lack of fact-checking, full of misleading ads or unverified rumours >readers frequently misled by low-quality articles BUT traditional printed newspapers & books employ professional journalists, field experts, and strict editorial boards >every fact verified before going to press >future: **as online misinformation and AI junk increase**, discerning readers will refuse unreliable free sources >**continue** to pay for credible, verified print materials for accurate knowledge and peace of mind

- *You need to explain why you think there will be an increase.*
- *You need to reference the present here. you haven't said anything about this in the oart about "now".*
- **Reliability and editorial credibility**: now: increasing amounts of free content online >often written for clicks, lack of fact-checking, full of misleading ads or unverified rumours >readers frequently misled by low-quality articles BUT traditional printed newspapers & books employ professional journalists, field experts, and strict editorial boards >every fact verified before going to press > those who need credible news/information buy prints >future: as online misinformation and AI junk increase, discerning readers will refuse unreliable free sources >continue to pay for credible, verified print materials for accurate knowledge and peace of mind

"interest of human toward printed publicies": the pleasent memories of old era about papers>feelling of nostalgia>maintaining the tendency of people toward papers=>not stopping purchaseing printed papers

- *Nostalgia is the feeling of longing for a time you have a memory of. This does not apply to the distant future when people have no memory of prints.*