Comparison Structures

A)

Use of Commas and Spacing:

There is no difference between dumping at sea and chemical treatment at 8% each.

There was little difference between the figures for Turkey, Spain, and Ireland at 6.63%, 6.51%, and 6.43%, respectively.

There was little difference between the figures for Turkey, Spain and Ireland at 6.63%, 6.51% and 6.43%, respectively.

There was little difference between the figures for Turkey, Spain and Ireland at 6.63%, 6.51% and 6.43% respectively.

There was little difference between Italy and Sweden (16 and 15, respectively).

Referencing & Substitution:

There was a significant difference between **the percentage of consumer expenditure in/on** food, drinks and tobacco in Turkey and Ireland on the one hand, and Spain, Italy and Sweden on the other.

There was a significant difference between **the figures for** food, drinks and tobacco in Turkey and Ireland on the one hand, and Spain, Italy and Sweden on the other.

There was a significant difference in food, drinks and tobacco between **the figures for** Turkey and Ireland on the one hand, and Spain, Italy and Sweden on the other.

There was a significant difference between **the figures <u>for</u>** food, drinks and tobacco in Turkey and Ireland on the one hand, and **those <u>for</u>** Spain, Italy and Sweden on the other.

There is a significant difference in Korea between **the share <u>of</u>** recycling on the one hand, and **those <u>of</u>** underground and incineration on the other.

1. In the United Kingdom, there is a significant difference between underground elimination on the one hand, and dumping at sea, chemical treatment and incineration on the other.

2. ~~In Sweden, t~~There is little difference between recycling and incineration at 25% and 20%, respectively.

3. ~~In the United Kingdom, t~~There is no disparity between the chemical treatment of dangerous waste products and dumping them at sea at 8% each.

B1)

1. In the United Kingdom, burying the waste ranks first at 82%, while chemical treatment is smaller by a ~~substantial 74%~~wide margin at 8%.

2. ~~In Sweden, r~~Recycling ranks second at 25%, which is larger than incineration by a negligible 5%.

B2)

1. The share of burying the waste ~~was~~ is substantially ~~bigger~~ larger than ~~the proportion~~ that of incineration ~~(~~ (22% and 9% respectively), as the latter ~~ranked~~ ranks last.

2. The share of recycling ~~was~~ is 25%, while ~~the proportion~~ that of incineration ~~was~~ is marginally smaller at 20%, ranking last.

Review: A, B1 & B2)



Note 1:

Underground >> recycling, incineration

Underground 1st 55%

25 negligibly larger > 20 --> rank second

[Incineration last]

Note 2:

Underground 1st 55%

25 significantly smaller

20 a little smaller, last

1. In Sweden, there is a significant difference between the share of burying waste on the one hand, and ~~that~~ those of recycling and incineration on the other ~~hand. The proportion of burying the waste~~, with the former ~~ranks~~ ranking first at 55%. ~~The fraction of r~~Recycling is marginally larger than ~~that of~~ incineration (25% and 20% respectively)~~. The share of incineration~~ , as the latter ranks last.

2. In Sweden, the share of burying the waste ranks first at 55%, while that of recycling is substantially smaller (25%). Incineration ~~ranks last~~is smaller by a marginal 5%, ranking last.

B3)

1. With regards to life expectancy at birth, the figure for Canada was approximately as high as that for Japan at 76 years and 78 years respectively.

→ With regards to life expectancy at birth, the figure for Japan was approximately as high as that for Canada at 78 years and 76 years respectively, with the former ranking first.

Or: With regards to life expectancy at birth, Japan ranked first at 78 years, while the figure for Canada was almost as high at 76.

2. With regards to adult literacy rate, Canada and Japan ~~accounted for~~ were equal ~~figures~~ at 99%, ranking first together/jointly.

B4)

1. In Sweden, the share of burying the waste is 55%, ranking first, while that of recycling is half as large~~,~~ at 25%~~, with the former ranking first~~.

2. The share of chemical treatment is four times ~~greater than~~ as high as that of incineration, at 8% and 2% respectively.

B5)

Natural gas exports: 2020
Iran: 8 million m^3 | Russia: 24 million m^3

Russia's exports **were** significantly higher than Iran's at 24 million (24m) and 8m m3, respectively.

Russia's exports **were** three times as high as Iran's at 24 million (24m) and 8m m3, respectively.

Russia exported significantly/considerably/substantially/far/much more natural gas than Iran at 24 million (24m) and 8m m3 respectively.

Iran exported much less gas [than Russia] …

Russia ranked first with 24 million m3, while Iran exported considerably less gas (8 million).

Russia ranked first with 24 million m3, while Iran exported 16 million less gas. IF NEEDS CALCULATOR → NOT GOOD

Iran exported 1/3 as much gas as Russia [did].

Russia ranked first with 24 million m3, while Iran exported 1/3 as much gas.

Russia exported 3 times as much gas as Iran, ranking first.

Russia exported 3 times as much gas as Iran, ranking first with 24 million m3

Russia ranked first with 24 million m3, exporting 3 times as much gas as Iran.

Ranking first with 24 million m3, Russia exported 3 times as much gas as Iran.

Mobile phones: production per month
Samsung: 100000 | Sony: 50000

1. Samsung produces significantly more mobile phones than Sony at 100000 and 50000 respectively.✓

2. Samsung produces 2 times as many mobile phones as Sony, ranking first.✓