Comparison Structures

A)

Use of Commas and Spacing:

There is no difference between dumping at sea and chemical treatment at 8% each.

There was little difference between the figures for Turkey, Spain, and Ireland at 6.63%, 6.51%, and 6.43%, respectively.

There was little difference between the figures for Turkey, Spain and Ireland at 6.63%, 6.51% and 6.43%, respectively.

There was little difference between the figures for Turkey, Spain and Ireland at 6.63%, 6.51% and 6.43% respectively.

There was little difference between Italy and Sweden (16 and 15, respectively).

Referencing & Substitution:

There was a significant difference between **the percentage of consumer expenditure in/on** food, drinks and tobacco in Turkey and Ireland on the one hand, and Spain, Italy and Sweden on the other.

There was a significant difference between **the figures for** food, drinks and tobacco in Turkey and Ireland on the one hand, and Spain, Italy and Sweden on the other.

There was a significant difference in food, drinks and tobacco between **the figures for** Turkey and Ireland on the one hand, and Spain, Italy and Sweden on the other.

There was a significant difference between **the figures <u>for</u>** food, drinks and tobacco in Turkey and Ireland on the one hand, and **those <u>for</u>** Spain, Italy and Sweden on the other.

There is a significant difference in Korea between **the share <u>of</u>** recycling on the one hand, and **those <u>of</u>** underground and incineration on the other.

1. In ~~s~~Sweden, there is a significant difference between underground on the one hand, and ~~incineration and~~ recycling and incineration on the other.

2. There is little difference between recycling and incineration at 25% and 20% respectively.

3. In the ~~u~~United ~~k~~Kingdom, there is no difference between chemical treatment and dum~~m~~ping at sea.

Not the first sentence of the category → no label:

→ There is no difference between chemical treatment and dumping at sea (8% each)/at 8% each.

B1)

1. In Sweden, there is a smaller difference between recycling and chemical treatment by a narrow margin (5%)

→ The figure for recycling is 25%, while incineration is smaller by a narrow margin (20%).

Or: The figure for recycling is 25%, while incineration is smaller by a negligible 5%.

2. In the United Kingdom, underground ranks first at 82%, which is significantly larger than dumping at sea ~~(82% and 2% respectively)~~ and chemical treatment (8% each).


B2)

1. Recycling is 25%, while inci~~d~~neration is slightly smaller at 20%.

2. Underground stands first with 82%, while inci~~d~~neration is significantly smaller at 2%.

The share of underground ~~is~~ stands first at 82%, while dumping sea and incineration are significantly smaller at 8% each, ranking ~~last~~second together.

Review: A, B1 & B2)



Note 1:	**Note 2:**
Underground >> recycling, incineration Underground 1st 55% 25 & 20: similar [Incineration last]	Underground 1st 55% 25 significantly smaller 20 a little smaller, last

In Sweden, the share of underground ~~is~~ ranks first at 55%, while recycling is significantly smaller at 25%. Incineration is slightly smaller [than recycling] (20%), ranking last.

→ In Sweden, the share of underground ranks first at 55%, while recycling is significantly smaller at 25%. Incineration is slightly smaller, ranking last at 20%.

B3)

1. In life expectancy at birth, the figures for ~~Canada and~~ Japan and Canada were almost the same at ~~76~~ 78 and ~~78~~ 76 respectively.

2. In adult literacy the ~~fugures~~ figures for Canada and Japan were equal at 99%.

B4)

1. In the Republic of ~~k~~Korea, the share of recycling is ~~almost twice~~ [approximately] three as high as underground (69% and 22%, respectively).

2. In the Republic of ~~k~~Korea, the share of underground is almost 1/3 as high as ~~for~~ recycling (~~23~~22% and 69%, respectively).

→In the Republic of Korea, recycling ranks first by far at 69%, while the share of underground is almost 1/3 as high (22%).

B5)

Natural gas exports: 2020
Iran: 8 million m^3 | Russia: 24 million m^3

Russia's exports **were** significantly higher than Iran's at 24 million (24m) and 8m m3, respectively.

Russia's exports **were** three times as high as Iran's at 24 million (24m) and 8m m3, respectively.

Russia exported significantly/considerably/substantially/far/much more natural gas than Iran at 24 million (24m) and 8m m3 respectively.

Iran exported much less gas [than Russia] …

Russia ranked first with 24 million m3, while Iran exported considerably less gas (8 million).

Russia ranked first with 24 million m3, while Iran exported 16 million less gas. IF NEEDS CALCULATOR → NOT GOOD

Iran exported 1/3 as much gas as Russia [did].

Russia ranked first with 24 million m3, while Iran exported 1/3 as much gas.

Russia exported 3 times as much gas as Iran, ranking first.

Russia exported 3 times as much gas as Iran, ranking first with 24 million m3

Russia ranked first with 24 million m3, exporting 3 times as much gas as Iran.

Ranking first with 24 million m3, Russia exported 3 times as much gas as Iran.

Mobile phones: production per month
Samsung: 100000 | Sony: 50000

1. ~~Sumsung~~ Samsung ranked first with 100000 per month, while Sony produced considerably fewer mobile phones (50000).

2. Sony produced half as many mobile phones as ~~Sumsung~~ Samsung did (50000).
→ Samsung ranked first with 100000 per month, whereas Sony produced half as many mobile phones (50000).